## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

RICHARD KOSTENBAUDER,	) CASE NO. 3:12CV2581
Petitioner,	) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
VS.	)
BRIEN COOK, Warden,	ORDER AND DECISION
Respondent.	)
	)

This matter appears before the Court on Petitioner's Objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed on January 3, 2014 in response to Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus.

The Court, in conducting a *de novo* review of Petitioner's objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1), has carefully considered the arguments raised by Petitioner and hereby OVERRULES them. The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED in its entirety and Petitioner's Writ of Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED.

Upon the timely filing of a petitioner's objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, this Court is required to perform a *de novo* review of "those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. §636 (b)(1).

The Report concludes that Petitioner procedurally defaulted the sole claim in his petition and that he failed to show cause and prejudice to excuse that default. In his objections, Petitioner does not allege legal error in the Report. Instead, he asserts that it is unfair to hold him to the

Case: 3:12-cv-02581-JRA Doc #: 22 Filed: 03/13/14 2 of 2. PageID #: 191

same standard as other litigants because he has an I.Q. below 70. While Petitioner's I.Q. may

make it more difficult for him to navigate through the requirements of the AEDPA, it does not

provide him a substantive argument to evade those requirements. Accordingly, his objections

are OVERRULED. The Report is ADOPTED in full and the petition is hereby DISMISSED.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(A)(3), that an appeal from this decision

could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of

appealability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: March 13, 2014

<u>/s/ John R. Adams</u> Judge John R. Adams

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2